Proving Equivalence of Imperative Programs via Constrained Rewriting Induction

Carsten Fuhs (Birkbeck, University of London)

joint work with Cynthia Kop (RU Nijmegen) and Naoki Nishida (U Nagoya)

Proof Systems for Mathematics and Verification EPFL, Lausanne 15 June 2024

Overview



- 2 Constrained Term Rewriting
- **3** Transforming C Programs
- **4** Rewriting Induction
- **5** Lemma Generation

6 Conclusions

Overview

1 Motivation

- 2 Constrained Term Rewriting
- **3** Transforming C Programs
- **4** Rewriting Induction
- **5** Lemma Generation

6 Conclusions

C Programming Course in Nagoya

C Programming Course in Nagoya

- \pm 70 students every year (of whom 60 active)
- 3 programming exercises every week
- $\bullet \implies 180^+$ exercises to grade every week for a full semester
- student programs can be horrible

Exercise: write a function that calculates $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k$.

```
int sum(int x) {
    int i = 0, z = 0;
    for (i = 0; i <= x; i++)
        z += i;
    return z;
}</pre>
```

```
int sum( int n ){
  if(n < 0){
    return 0;
  }
  int cnt;
  int data = 0;
  for(cnt = 0; cnt \leq n; cnt++)
    data = data + cnt;
  }
  return data;
}
```

```
int sum(int n)
{
    if ( n<=0 ) {
        return 0;
    } else {
        return (n*(n+1)/2);
    }
}</pre>
```

```
int sum(int x) {
    int i, j, z;
    z = 0;
    for (i = 0; i <= x; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < i; j++)
            z++;
        return z;
}</pre>
```

• hire some teaching assistants!

- hire some teaching assistants!
- automate the marking

- hire some teaching assistants!
- automate the marking

• run automatic tests

- run automatic tests
- prove that programs are correct!

- run automatic tests
- prove that programs are correct!

- run automatic tests
- prove that programs are correct!
 - we love to play with term rewriting

- run automatic tests
- prove that programs are correct!
 - we love to play with term rewriting
 - ⇒ convert C programs to term rewriting systems!

- run automatic tests
- prove that programs are correct!
 - we love to play with term rewriting
 - ⇒ convert C programs to term rewriting systems!
 - \Rightarrow reason about those TRSs instead!

Overview

Motivation

- 2 Constrained Term Rewriting
- **3** Transforming C Programs
- **4** Rewriting Induction
- 6 Lemma Generation

6 Conclusions

Syntactic approach for reasoning in equational first-order logic

Syntactic approach for reasoning in equational first-order logic Core functional programming language without many restrictions (and features) of "real" FP:

Syntactic approach for reasoning in equational first-order logic

Core functional programming language without many restrictions (and features) of "real" FP:

- first-order (usually)
- no fixed evaluation strategy
- no fixed order of rules to apply (Haskell: top to bottom)
- untyped
- no pre-defined data structures (integers, arrays, ...)

Numbers: 0, s(0), s(s(0)), ...

Numbers: 0, s(0), s(s(0)), ... Rules: $sum(0) \rightarrow$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(0) & \to & 0 \\ \operatorname{sum}(\mathsf{s}(x)) & \to & \operatorname{plus}(\mathsf{s}(x), \operatorname{sum}(x)) \\ \operatorname{plus}(0, y) & \to & y \\ \operatorname{plus}(\mathsf{s}(x), y) & \to & \operatorname{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

~

Numbers: 0, s(0), s(s(0)), ... Rules:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(0) & \to & 0 \\ \operatorname{sum}(\mathsf{s}(x)) & \to & \operatorname{plus}(\mathsf{s}(x), \operatorname{sum}(x)) \\ \operatorname{plus}(0, y) & \to & y \\ \operatorname{plus}(\mathsf{s}(x), y) & \to & \operatorname{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

Then e.g. we can compute 1 + 1 = 2 as

$$\mathsf{plus}(\mathsf{s}(0),\mathsf{s}(0)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{plus}(0,\mathsf{s}(0))) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{s}(0))$$

Numbers: 0, s(0), s(s(0)), ... Rules:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(0) & \to & 0 \\ \operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{s}(x)) & \to & \operatorname{plus}(\operatorname{s}(x), \operatorname{sum}(x)) \\ \operatorname{plus}(0, y) & \to & y \\ \operatorname{plus}(\operatorname{s}(x), y) & \to & \operatorname{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

Then e.g. we can compute 1 + 1 = 2 as

$$\mathsf{plus}(\mathsf{s}(0),\mathsf{s}(0)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{plus}(0,\mathsf{s}(0))) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{s}(0))$$

Integer arithmetic possible with more complex recursive rules.

Numbers: 0, s(0), s(s(0)), ... Rules:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(0) & \to & 0 \\ \operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{s}(x)) & \to & \operatorname{plus}(\operatorname{s}(x), \operatorname{sum}(x)) \\ \operatorname{plus}(0, y) & \to & y \\ \operatorname{plus}(\operatorname{s}(x), y) & \to & \operatorname{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

Then e.g. we can compute 1 + 1 = 2 as

$$\mathsf{plus}(\mathsf{s}(0),\mathsf{s}(0)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{plus}(0,\mathsf{s}(0))) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{s}(0))$$

Integer arithmetic possible with more complex recursive rules.

But: Want to do **program analysis**. Really throw away domain knowledge about built-in data structures?!

- first-order
- no fixed evaluation strategy
- no fixed order of rules to apply

- first-order
- no fixed evaluation strategy
- no fixed order of rules to apply
- typed

- first-order
- no fixed evaluation strategy
- no fixed order of rules to apply
- typed
- with pre-defined data structures (integers, arrays, bitvectors, ...), usually from SMT-LIB theories (SMT: SAT Modulo Theories)

- first-order
- no fixed evaluation strategy
- no fixed order of rules to apply
- typed
- with pre-defined data structures (integers, arrays, bitvectors, ...), usually from SMT-LIB theories (SMT: SAT Modulo Theories)
- rewrite rules with SMT constraints

Term rewriting "with batteries included"

- first-order
- no fixed evaluation strategy
- no fixed order of rules to apply
- typed
- with pre-defined data structures (integers, arrays, bitvectors, ...), usually from SMT-LIB theories (SMT: SAT Modulo Theories)
- rewrite rules with SMT constraints

 \Rightarrow Term rewriting + SMT solving for automated reasoning
$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

Integer Summation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

sum(2)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rl} \mathsf{sum}(2) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + \mathsf{sum}(2-1) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \mathsf{sum}(2) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + \mathsf{sum}(2-1) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + \mathsf{sum}(1) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rl} \mathsf{sum}(2) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + \mathsf{sum}(2-1) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + \mathsf{sum}(1) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + (1 + \mathsf{sum}(1-1)) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

$$sum(2)$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + sum(2-1)$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + sum(1)$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + (1 + sum(1-1))$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + (1 + sum(0))$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & {\rm sum}(2) \\ \to & 2+{\rm sum}(2-1) \\ \to & 2+{\rm sum}(1) \\ \to & 2+(1+{\rm sum}(1-1)) \\ \to & 2+(1+{\rm sum}(0)) \\ \to & 2+(1+0) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \operatorname{sum}(2) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + \operatorname{sum}(2 - 1) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + \operatorname{sum}(1) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + (1 + \operatorname{sum}(1 - 1)) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + (1 + \operatorname{sum}(0)) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + (1 + 0) \\ \rightarrow & 2 + 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

$$sum(2)$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + sum(2 - 1)$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + sum(1)$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + (1 + sum(1 - 1))$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + (1 + sum(0))$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + (1 + 0)$$

$$\rightarrow 2 + 1$$

$$\rightarrow 3$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathop{\rm sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \mathop{\rm sum}(x) & \to & x + \mathop{\rm sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

•
$$\mathcal{F}_{terms} = {sum} \cup {n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

•
$$\mathcal{F}_{theory} = \{+, -, \geq, >, \land, true, false\} \cup \{n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

- Values: true, false, $0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, -1, -2, \ldots$
- Interpretation: addition, minus, etc.

Bitvector Summation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathop{\rm sum}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \mathop{\rm sum}(x) & \to & x + \mathop{\rm sum}(x-1) & [x > 0] \end{array}$$

•
$$\mathcal{F}_{terms} = {sum} \cup {n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \land 0 \le n < 256}$$

- $\mathcal{F}_{theory} = \{+, -, \geq, >, \land, true, false\} \cup \{n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \land 0 \leq n < 256\}$
- Values: true, false, $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 255$
- Interpretation: addition, minus, etc. modulo 256

Array Summation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathop{\rm sum}(a,x) & \to & 0 & [x<0] \\ \mathop{\rm sum}(a,x) & \to & \mathop{\rm select}(a,x) + \mathop{\rm sum}(a,x-1) & [x\geq 0] \end{array}$$

•
$$\mathcal{F}_{terms} = {sum} \cup {n : int | n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cup {a : iarr | n \in \mathbb{Z}^*}$$

•
$$\mathcal{F}_{theory} = \{+, -, \ge, >, \land, \text{select}, \text{true}, \text{false}\} \cup \{n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{a : \text{iarr} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}^*\}$$

• Values:

true, false, 0, 1, $-1, 2, -2, \ldots, (), (0), (1), \ldots, (0, 0), \ldots$

Summary

Logically Constrained Term Rewriting Systems [Kop and Nishida, 2013]

Summary

Logically Constrained Term Rewriting Systems [Kop and Nishida, 2013]

• work much like normal term rewrite systems

Summary

Logically Constrained Term Rewriting Systems [Kop and Nishida, 2013]

- work much like normal term rewrite systems
- can handle integers, arrays, bitvectors, ...

Summary

Logically Constrained Term Rewriting Systems [Kop and Nishida, 2013]

- work much like normal term rewrite systems
- can handle integers, arrays, bitvectors, ...
- are flexible enough to faithfully model (many) real-world programs

Overview

1 Motivation

- 2 Constrained Term Rewriting
- **3** Transforming C Programs
- **4** Rewriting Induction
- 5 Lemma Generation

6 Conclusions

```
int fact(int x) {
    int z = 1;
    for (int i = 1; i <= x; i++)
        z *= i;
    return z;
}</pre>
```

```
int fact(int x) {
    int z = 1;
    for (int i = 1; i <= x; i++)
        z *= i;
    return z;
}</pre>
```

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{fact}(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}_1(x) \\ \mathsf{u}_1(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}_2(x, 1, 1) \\ \mathsf{u}_2(x, z, i) & \to & \mathsf{u}_3(x, z, i) \\ \mathsf{u}_2(x, z, i) & \to & \mathsf{u}_4(x, z, i) \\ \mathsf{u}_3(x, z, i) & \to & \mathsf{u}_2(x, z * i, i + 1) \\ \mathsf{u}_4(x, z, i) & \to & z \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} i \leq x \\ [\neg(i \leq x)] \\ i \leq x \end{bmatrix}$$

```
int fact(int x) {
    int z = 1;
    for (int i = 1; i <= x; i++)
        z *= i;
    return z;
}</pre>
```

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{fact}(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}_2(x,1,1) \\ \mathsf{u}_2(x,z,i) & \to & \mathsf{u}_2(x,z*i,i+1) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}_2(x,z,i) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array}$$

```
int fact(int x) {
    int z = 1;
    for (int i = 1; i <= x; i++)
        z *= i;
    return z;
}</pre>
```

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{fact}(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}_2(x,1,1) \\ \mathsf{u}_2(x,z,i) & \to & \mathsf{u}_2(x,z*i,i+1) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}_2(x,z,i) & \to & \mathsf{return}(z) & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array}$$

```
Motivation Constrained Term Rewriting Transforming C Programs Rewriting Induction Lemma Generation Conclusions
Error Checking
```

Division by Zero

```
boolean divides(int x, int y) {
  return x % y == 0;
}
```

Division by Zero

```
boolean divides(int x, int y) {
  return x % y == 0;
}
```

```
\operatorname{divides}(x,y) \quad \to \quad \operatorname{return}(x \, \operatorname{mod} \, y = \mathbf{0})
```

Division by Zero

```
boolean divides(int x, int y) {
return x % y == 0;
}
divides(x, y) \rightarrow return(x mod y = 0) [y \neq 0]
```

```
\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{divides}(x,y) & \to & \operatorname{return}(x \ \mathrm{mod} \ y=0) & [y \neq 0] \\ \operatorname{divides}(x,y) & \to & \operatorname{error} & [y=0] \end{array}
```

Integer Overflow

```
int fact(int x) {
    int z = 1;
    for (int i = 1; i <= x; i++)
        z *= i;
    return z;
}</pre>
```

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{fact}(x) \to \mathsf{u}_2(x,1,1) \\ & \mathsf{u}_2(x,z,i) \to \mathsf{u}_2(x,z*i,i+1) [i \le x] \\ & \mathsf{u}_2(x,z,i) \to \mathsf{return}(z) \qquad [\neg (i \le x)] \end{aligned}$$

Integer Overflow

```
int fact(int x) {
    int z = 1;
    for (int i = 1; i <= x; i++)
        z *= i;
    return z;
}</pre>
```

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{fact}(x) & \rightarrow & \mathsf{u}_2(x,1,1) \\ \mathsf{u}_2(x,z,i) & \rightarrow & \mathsf{u}_2(x,z*i,i+1) \left[i \leq x \wedge z*i < 256 \wedge i+1 < 256 \right] \\ \mathsf{u}_2(x,z,i) & \rightarrow & \mathsf{error} & [i \leq x \wedge (z*i \geq 256 \vee i+1 \geq 256)] \\ \mathsf{u}_2(x,z,i) & \rightarrow & \mathsf{return}(z) & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array}$$

Further Extensions

Further Extensions

Can also handle

- Recursion
- Global variables
- Mutable arrays (with built-in size function)
 - \rightarrow can represent memory safety violation

Overview

Motivation

- 2 Constrained Term Rewriting
- **3** Transforming C Programs
- **4** Rewriting Induction
- 5 Lemma Generation

6 Conclusions

What is Equivalence for LCTRSs?

Teacher's code:

Goal

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sup_1(x) & \to & \mathsf{0} & [x \leq \mathsf{0}] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > \mathsf{0}] \end{array}$$

What is Equivalence for LCTRSs?

Teacher's code:

Goal

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} \operatorname{sum}_1(x) & \to & \mathbf{0} & [x \leq \mathbf{0}] \\ \operatorname{sum}_1(x) & \to & x + \operatorname{sum}_1(x-1) & [x > \mathbf{0}] \end{array}$$

Student's code:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{sum}_2(x) & \to & \operatorname{u}(x,0,0) \\ \operatorname{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \operatorname{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ \operatorname{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array}$$

What is Equivalence for LCTRSs?

Teacher's code:

Goal

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sup_1(x) & \to & \mathbf{0} & [x \leq \mathbf{0}] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > \mathbf{0}] \end{array}$$

Student's code:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sup_2(x) & \rightarrow & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \rightarrow & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \rightarrow & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array}$$

Query: sum₁(x) \leftrightarrow^* sum₂(x) for all x?

Given:

• set \mathcal{E} of equations $s_1 \approx t_1 \ [\varphi_1], \ \ldots, \ s_n \approx t_n \ [\varphi_n]$

Given:

- set \mathcal{E} of equations $s_1 \approx t_1 \ [\varphi_1], \ \ldots, \ s_n \approx t_n \ [\varphi_n]$
- \bullet set of rewrite rules ${\cal R}$

Given:

- set \mathcal{E} of equations $s_1 \approx t_1 \ [\varphi_1], \ \ldots, \ s_n \approx t_n \ [\varphi_n]$
- \bullet set of rewrite rules ${\cal R}$

Want to prove:

for all constructor ground substitutions $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ compatible with $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$: each $s_i \gamma_i \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t_i \gamma_i$.

Given:

- set \mathcal{E} of equations $s_1 \approx t_1 \ [\varphi_1], \ \ldots, \ s_n \approx t_n \ [\varphi_n]$
- set of rewrite rules ${\cal R}$

Want to prove:

for all constructor ground substitutions $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ compatible with $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$: each $s_i \gamma_i \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t_i \gamma_i$.

Requirements:

• termination of $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ (to perform induction)

Given:

- set \mathcal{E} of equations $s_1 \approx t_1 \ [\varphi_1], \ \ldots, \ s_n \approx t_n \ [\varphi_n]$
- set of rewrite rules ${\cal R}$

Want to prove:

for all constructor ground substitutions $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ compatible with $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$: each $s_i \gamma_i \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t_i \gamma_i$.

Requirements:

- termination of $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ (to perform induction)
- sufficient completeness of →_R: evaluation "cannot get stuck" (for case analysis over variables by constructor terms)
Given:

- set \mathcal{E} of equations $s_1 \approx t_1 \ [\varphi_1], \ \ldots, \ s_n \approx t_n \ [\varphi_n]$
- \bullet set of rewrite rules ${\cal R}$

Want to prove:

for all constructor ground substitutions $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ compatible with $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$: each $s_i \gamma_i \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t_i \gamma_i$.

Requirements:

- termination of $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ (to perform induction)
- sufficient completeness of →_R: evaluation "cannot get stuck" (for case analysis over variables by constructor terms)
- if we want $s_i \gamma_i \leftrightarrow^* t_i \gamma_i$ for all results: confluence of $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$

Three sets:

- \mathcal{E} (equations, "the queries")
- \mathcal{R} (rules, "the program")
- \mathcal{H} (rules, "induction hypotheses")

Three sets:

- \mathcal{E} (equations, "the queries")
- \mathcal{R} (rules, "the program")
- \mathcal{H} (rules, "induction hypotheses")

Initially: \mathcal{E} given, \mathcal{R} given, \mathcal{H} empty

Three sets:

- \mathcal{E} (equations, "the queries")
- \mathcal{R} (rules, "the program")
- \mathcal{H} (rules, "induction hypotheses")

Initially: \mathcal{E} given, \mathcal{R} given, \mathcal{H} empty

Proof steps: pairs $(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{H})\vdash (\mathcal{E}',\mathcal{H}')$ by several inference rules for \vdash

Three sets:

- \mathcal{E} (equations, "the queries")
- \mathcal{R} (rules, "the program")
- \mathcal{H} (rules, "induction hypotheses")

Initially: \mathcal{E} given, \mathcal{R} given, \mathcal{H} empty

Proof steps: pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}) \vdash (\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{H}')$ by several inference rules for \vdash **Invariant:** $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}\cup\mathcal{H}}$ terminating

Three sets:

- \mathcal{E} (equations, "the queries")
- \mathcal{R} (rules, "the program")
- \mathcal{H} (rules, "induction hypotheses")

Initially: \mathcal{E} given, \mathcal{R} given, \mathcal{H} empty

Proof steps: pairs $(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{H})\vdash (\mathcal{E}',\mathcal{H}')$ by several inference rules for \vdash

Invariant: $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}\cup\mathcal{H}}$ terminating

Goal: find derivation $(\mathcal{E}, \emptyset) \vdash^* (\emptyset, \mathcal{H})$

Then also $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}}^* \subseteq \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}\cup\mathcal{H}}^* \subseteq \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$ on ground terms: Equations \mathcal{E} are **inductive theorems** for \mathcal{R} Motivation Constrained Term Rewriting Transforming C Programs Rewriting Induction Lemma Generation Conclusions

Rewriting Induction Rules

Simplification: definition

$$\frac{(\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \simeq t \ [\varphi]\}, \mathcal{H})}{(\mathcal{E} \cup \{s' \approx t \ [\psi]\}, \mathcal{H})}$$

$$\text{if} \quad s \approx t \ [\varphi] \quad \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{H}} \quad s' \approx t \ [\psi] \\$$

Idea: Use the program or an induction hypothesis to simplify the query.

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \uplus \{ \mathsf{u}(x,y,z) \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1] \\ \}, \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ & \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ & \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(\mathcal{E} \uplus \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y, z) \approx x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + 1] \\ \}, \mathcal{H})$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(\mathcal{E} \uplus \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y+1, z+y) \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x'+1] \\ \}, \mathcal{H})$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \uplus \{ \mathsf{u}(x, \underline{y+1}, z+y) \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq \underline{y} \land x = x'+1] \\ \}, \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \uplus \{ \mathsf{u}(x,y',z+y) \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ \land y' = y + 1] \}, \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \uplus \{ \mathsf{u}(x,y', \textbf{\textit{z}} + \textbf{\textit{y}}) \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ \land y' = y + 1] \}, \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \uplus \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y', \mathbf{z}') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ \land y' = y + 1 \land \mathbf{z}' = \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{y}] \}, \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

Expansion: definition

$$\frac{(\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \simeq t \ [\varphi]\}, \mathcal{H})}{(\mathcal{E} \cup Expd(s \approx t \ [\varphi], p), \mathcal{H} \cup \{s \to t \ [\varphi]\})}$$

if for every γ compatible with φ , $s_{|p}$ reduces and $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{H} \cup \{s \to t \ [\varphi]\}$ is terminating

Expansion: definition

$$\frac{(\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \simeq t \ [\varphi]\}, \mathcal{H})}{(\mathcal{E} \cup Expd(s \approx t \ [\varphi], p), \mathcal{H} \cup \{s \to t \ [\varphi]\})}$$

if for every γ compatible with φ , $s_{|p}$ reduces and $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{H} \cup \{s \to t \ [\varphi]\}$ is terminating

 $Expd(C[l']_p \approx t \ [\varphi], p)$ contains equations $C[r\gamma]_p \approx t\gamma \ [\varphi\gamma \wedge \psi\gamma]$ for all $l \to r \ [\psi]$ in \mathcal{R} where l and l' unify with most general unifier γ

Expansion: definition

$$\frac{(\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \simeq t \ [\varphi]\}, \mathcal{H})}{(\mathcal{E} \cup Expd(s \approx t \ [\varphi], p), \mathcal{H} \cup \{s \to t \ [\varphi]\})}$$

if for every γ compatible with φ , $s_{|p}$ reduces and $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{H} \cup \{s \to t \ [\varphi]\}$ is terminating

 $Expd(C[l']_p \approx t \ [\varphi], p)$ contains equations $C[r\gamma]_p \approx t\gamma \ [\varphi\gamma \wedge \psi\gamma]$ for all $l \to r \ [\psi]$ in \mathcal{R} where l and l' unify with most general unifier γ

Idea: Exhaustive case analysis, generate induction hypothesis. (Closely related: narrowing.)

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \sup_{1}(x) & \to & 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) & \to & x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \uplus \{ \mathsf{u}(x,y',z') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}, \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \leq 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \leq x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \leq x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \uplus \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y', z') \approx x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}, \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{\mathbf{u}(x, y' + \mathbf{1}, z' + y') \approx x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + \mathbf{1} \\ & \land y' = y + \mathbf{1} \land z' = z + y \land y' \le x] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + \mathbf{1} \\ & \land y' = y + \mathbf{1} \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \le x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathbf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + \mathbf{1} \\ & \land y' = y + \mathbf{1} \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y'+1,z'+y') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg(y' \leq x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y',z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y'+1,z'+y') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y',z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{sum}_1(x) \to 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}_1(x) \to x + \operatorname{sum}_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}_2(x) \to u(x, 0, 0) \\ \operatorname{u}(x, i, z) \to u(x, i+1, z+i) & [i \le x] \\ \operatorname{u}(x, i, z) \to z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y'+1,z'+y') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y',z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y'+1,z'+y') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + z \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y',z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x'+1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x, y' + 1, z' + y') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + 1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land y' \le x] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + z \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + 1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \le x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + 1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y'', z'') &\approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ &\land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ &\cup \{ z' &\approx x + z \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ &, \mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y'', z'') &\approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ &\land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ &\cup \{ z' \approx x + z \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ ,\mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) \rightarrow 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_1(x) \rightarrow x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) \rightarrow u(x, 0, 0) \\ \underbrace{\mathsf{u}(x, i, z)}_{\mathsf{u}(x, i, z)} \rightarrow u(x, i+1, z+i) & [\underline{i \le x}] \\ u(x, i, z) \rightarrow z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y'', z'') &\approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ &\land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ &\cup \{ z' &\approx x + z \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ &, \mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) & \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y'',z'') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x',y',z') \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ & \land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + z \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y',z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y'', z'') &\approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y', z') \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ &\land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ &\cup \{ z' \approx x + z \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ &, \mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y'', z'') \approx x + \mathbf{u}(x', y', z') \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ & \land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ & \cup \{ z' \approx x + z \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y'', z'') &\approx x + \mathbf{u}(x', y', z') \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ &\land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ &\cup \{ z' \approx x + z \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ ,\mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \; [y := y', y' := y'', z := z', z' := z''] \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \to 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \to x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \to u(x, 0, 0) \\ u(x, i, z) \to u(x, i+1, z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x, i, z) \to z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y'', z'') &\approx x + \mathbf{u}(x', y', z') \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ &\land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ &\cup \{ z' \approx x + z \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ ,\mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \; [y := y', y' := y'', z := z', z' := z''] \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \to 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \to x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \to u(x, 0, 0) \\ u(x, i, z) \to u(x, i+1, z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x, i, z) \to z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y'', z'') &\approx x + \mathbf{u}(x', y', z') \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ &\land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ &\cup \{ z' \approx x + z \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ ,\mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \; [y := y', y' := y'', z := z', z' := z''] \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \to 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \to x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \to u(x, 0, 0) \\ u(x, i, z) \to u(x, i+1, z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x, i, z) \to z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y'', z'') &\approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y', z') \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ &\land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ &\cup \{ z' \approx x + z \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ ,\mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \; [y := y', y' := y'', z := z', z' := z''] \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \to 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \to x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \to u(x, 0, 0) \\ u(x, i, z) \to u(x, i+1, z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x, i, z) \to z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y'', z'') &\approx x + \mathbf{u}(x', y', z') \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ &\land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ &\cup \{ z' \approx x + z \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ ,\mathcal{H} \cup \{ \mathbf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathbf{u}(x', y, z) \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ &\land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \; [y := y', y' := y'', z := z', z' := z''] \end{split}$$
Expansion: example

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \ \to \ x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \ \to \ u(x,0,0) \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ u(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x,i,z) \ \to \ z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{x + \mathsf{u}(x', y', z') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y', z') \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + z \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \\ & \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

Deletion: definition

$$\frac{(\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \simeq t \ [\varphi]\}, \mathcal{H})}{(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H})}$$

if $s\equiv t \text{ or } \varphi$ is unsatisfiable

Idea: Delete trivial inductive theorems.

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \to 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \to x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \to u(x, 0, 0) \\ u(x, i, z) \to u(x, i+1, z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x, i, z) \to z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{x + \mathsf{u}(x', y', z') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y', z') \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = \\ & y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land y' \leq x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + z \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \\ & \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ & \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_{1}(x) \to 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_{1}(x) \to x + \sup_{1}(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_{2}(x) \to u(x, 0, 0) \\ u(x, i, z) \to u(x, i+1, z+i) & [i \le x] \\ u(x, i, z) \to z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{x + \mathsf{u}(x', y', z') \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y', z') \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = \\ & y + 1 \land z' = z + y \land y' \le x \land y'' = y' + 1 \land z'' = z' + y'] \} \\ & \cup \{z' \approx x + z \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \\ & \land \neg (y' \le x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ & \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

Deletion: example

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

 $(\mathcal{E} \cup$

$$\begin{split} &\{z'\approx x+z \; [x\geq y \wedge x=x'+1 \wedge y'=y+1 \wedge z'=z+y \\ & \wedge \neg(y'\leq x)]\} \\ &, \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y',z') \rightarrow x+\mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \; [x\geq y \wedge x=x'+1 \wedge y'=y+1 \\ & \wedge z'=z+y]\}) \end{split}$$

EQ-Deletion: definition

$$\frac{(\mathcal{E} \uplus \{C[s_1, \dots, s_n] \approx C[t_1, \dots, t_n] \ [\varphi]\}, \mathcal{H})}{(\mathcal{E} \cup \{C[\vec{s}] \approx C[\vec{t}] \ [\varphi \land \neg \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (s_i = t_i)]\}, \mathcal{H})}$$

if $s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n$ all logical terms

Idea: If all arguments to the same context become equal, we're done.

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{z' \approx x + z \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \\ & \land \neg (y' \leq x)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \rightarrow x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ & \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E} \cup \{z' \approx x + z \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \\ & \land \neg (y' \leq x) \land \neg (z' = x + z)] \} \\ & , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \rightarrow x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \; [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ & \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sup_1(x) & \to & 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \sup_1(x) & \to & x + \sup_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \sup_2(x) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & \mathsf{u}(x,i+1,z+i) & [i \le x] \\ \mathsf{u}(x,i,z) & \to & z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \cup \{z' \approx x + z \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y \\ \land \neg (y' \leq x) \land \neg (z' = x + z)] \} \\ , \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \rightarrow x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \geq y \land x = x' + 1 \land y' = y + 1 \\ \land z' = z + y] \}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{sum}_1(x) \to 0 & [x \le 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}_1(x) \to x + \operatorname{sum}_1(x-1) & [x > 0] \\ \operatorname{sum}_2(x) \to u(x, 0, 0) \\ \operatorname{u}(x, i, z) \to u(x, i+1, z+i) & [i \le x] \\ \operatorname{u}(x, i, z) \to z & [\neg(i \le x)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(\mathcal{E}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathsf{u}(x,y',z') \rightarrow x + \mathsf{u}(x',y,z) \ [x \geq y \wedge x = x' + 1 \wedge y' = y + 1 \\ \wedge z' = z + y]\}) \end{array}$$

Postulate: definition

$$\frac{(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{H})}{(\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx t \ [\varphi]\},\mathcal{H})}$$

Postulate: example

 \mathcal{R} :

Goal:

 $(\{ \mathsf{sum}_1(x) \approx \mathsf{sum}_2(x) \ [\top] \}, \emptyset)$

Postulate: example

 \mathcal{R} :

$$\begin{array}{l} (\{ \mathsf{sum}_1(x) \approx \mathsf{sum}_2(x) \ [\top], \\ \mathsf{u}(x, y, z) \approx x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + 1 \\ \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \}, \emptyset) \end{array}$$

Postulate: example

 \mathcal{R} :

$$\begin{array}{l} (\{ \sup_1(x) \approx \sup_2(x) \ [\top] \}, \\ \{ \mathsf{u}(x, y', z') \to x + \mathsf{u}(x', y, z) \ [x \ge y \land x = x' + 1 \\ \land y' = y + 1 \land z' = z + y] \} \end{array}$$

Overview

1 Motivation

- 2 Constrained Term Rewriting
- **3** Transforming C Programs
- **4** Rewriting Induction
- **5** Lemma Generation

6 Conclusions

Goals: $\operatorname{sum}_1(x) \approx \operatorname{sum}_2(x) \ [\top]$

Goals: $sum_1(x) \approx u(x, 0, 0) [\top]$

$$\begin{array}{l} x+ \sup_1(x-1) \approx \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \ [x>0] \\ 0 \approx \mathsf{u}(x,0,0) \ [x\leq 0] \end{array}$$

$$x+\mathrm{sum}_1(x-1)\approx \mathrm{u}(x,\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})~[x>\mathbf{0}]$$

$$x+\mathrm{sum}_1(x-1)\approx \mathrm{u}(x,\mathbf{0}+1,\mathbf{0}+\mathbf{0})~[x>\mathbf{0}]$$

$$x+\mathrm{sum}_1(x')\approx \mathrm{u}(x,1,0)~[x>0\wedge x'=x-1]$$

$$x+\mathsf{u}(x',\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})\approx\mathsf{u}(x,1,\mathbf{0})\ [x>\mathsf{0}\wedge x'=x-1]$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x+{\sf u}(x',0,0)\approx {\sf u}(x,1+1,0+1) \,\, [x>0 \wedge x'=x-1 \wedge x'>0] \\ x+{\sf u}(x',0,0)\approx 0 \,\, [x>0 \wedge x'=x-1 \wedge x'\leq 0] \end{array}$$

$$x + \mathsf{u}(x', 0, 0) \approx \mathsf{u}(x, 1 + 1, 0 + 1) \ [x > 0 \land x' = x - 1 \land x' > 0]$$

Goals:

 $x + \mathsf{u}(x', 0+1, 0+0) \approx \mathsf{u}(x, 1+1, 0+1) \; [x > 0 \land x' = x - 1 \land x' > 0]$

$$x+\mathsf{u}(x',1,\mathbf{0})\approx\mathsf{u}(x,2,1)~[x>\mathsf{0}\wedge x'=x-1\wedge x'>\mathsf{0}]$$

Goals: $\operatorname{sum}_1(x) \approx \operatorname{sum}_2(x) \ [\top]$

Goals:
$$\sup_1(x) \approx u(x, c1, c2) \ [c1 = 0 \land c2 = 0]$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x + \sup_1(x-1) \approx \mathsf{u}(x,c1,c2) \ [c1 = \mathsf{0} \land c2 = \mathsf{0} \land x > \mathsf{0}] \\ c0 \approx \mathsf{u}(x,c1,c2) \ [c1 = \mathsf{0} \land c2 = \mathsf{0} \land x \leq \mathsf{0} \land c0 = \mathsf{0}] \end{array}$$

Goals:

 $x+\mathrm{sum}_1(x-1)\approx \mathrm{u}(x,c1,c2)\,\left[c1=\mathrm{O}\wedge c2=\mathrm{O}\wedge x>\mathrm{O}\right]$

Goals:

 $x+\mathrm{sum}_1(x-1)\approx \mathrm{u}(x,c1+1,c2+c1)\,\left[c1=\mathrm{O}\wedge c2=\mathrm{O}\wedge x>\mathrm{O}\right]$
Goals:

$$\begin{aligned} x + \sup_1(x') &\approx \mathsf{u}(x, i, z) \ [c1 = \mathsf{0} \land c2 = \mathsf{0} \land x > \mathsf{0} \land x' = \\ x - \mathsf{1} \land i = c\mathsf{1} + \mathsf{1} \land z = c\mathsf{1} + c\mathsf{2} \end{aligned}$$

Goals: $x + u(x', c1, c2) \approx u(x, i, z) \ [c1 = 0 \land c2 = 0 \land x > 0 \land x' = x - 1 \land i = c1 + 1 \land z = c1 + c2]$

Goals:

 $\begin{array}{l} x+\mathsf{u}(x',c1,c2)\approx\mathsf{u}(x,i,z) \, \left[c1=\mathsf{0}\wedge c2=\mathsf{0}\wedge x>\mathsf{0}\wedge x'=x-\mathsf{1}\wedge i=c1+\mathsf{1}\wedge z=c1+c2\right] \end{array}$

Generalisation: Drop initialisations

Goals:

 $\begin{array}{ll} x+\mathsf{u}(x',c1,c2)\approx\mathsf{u}(x,i,z)\ [& x>\mathsf{0}\wedge x'=\\ x-1\wedge i=c1+1\wedge z=c1+c2] \end{array}$

Generalisation: Drop initialisations

Overview

1 Motivation

- 2 Constrained Term Rewriting
- **3** Transforming C Programs
- **4** Rewriting Induction
- **5** Lemma Generation

6 Conclusions

• various kinds of constrained rewriting

 various kinds of constrained rewriting (But: most were fundamentally limited to the integers)

- various kinds of constrained rewriting (But: most were fundamentally limited to the integers)
- long history of unconstrained rewriting induction, e.g. [Reddy 1990]

- various kinds of constrained rewriting (But: most were fundamentally limited to the integers)
- long history of unconstrained rewriting induction, e.g. [Reddy 1990]
 (But: lemma generation methods do not obviously extend)

- various kinds of constrained rewriting (But: most were fundamentally limited to the integers)
- long history of unconstrained rewriting induction, e.g. [Reddy 1990]
 (But: lemma generation methods do not obviously extend)
- rewriting induction for a form of constrained rewriting

- various kinds of constrained rewriting (But: most were fundamentally limited to the integers)
- long history of unconstrained rewriting induction, e.g. [Reddy 1990]
 (But: lemma generation methods do not obviously extend)
- rewriting induction for a form of constrained rewriting (But: only very complex and relatively weak lemma generation)

Contributions

Implementation and Experiments

Contributions

Implementation and Experiments

 C2LCTRS: automatic tool to translate C programs to LCTRSs http://www.trs.cm.is.nagoya-u.ac.jp/c2lctrs/ Motivation Constrained Term Rewriting Transforming C Programs Rewriting Induction Lemma Generation Conclusions

Contributions

Implementation and Experiments

- C2LCTRS: automatic tool to translate C programs to LCTRSs http://www.trs.cm.is.nagoya-u.ac.jp/c2lctrs/
- Ctrl: automatic tool to prove equivalence of LCTRS functions http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/ctrl/

Motivation Constrained Term Rewriting Transforming C Programs Rewriting Induction Lemma Generation Conclusions

Contributions

Implementation and Experiments

- C2LCTRS: automatic tool to translate C programs to LCTRSs http://www.trs.cm.is.nagoya-u.ac.jp/c2lctrs/
- Ctrl: automatic tool to prove equivalence of LCTRS functions http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/ctrl/

function	YES	NO	MAYBE	time
sum	9	0	4	2.4
fib	4	6	3	6.6
sumfrom	3	1	2	1.9
strlen	1	0	5	7.2
strcpy	3	0	3	11.5
arrsum	1	0	0	4.2
fact	1	0	0	2.4
literature	4	3	18	4.0
safety	3	2	7	22.3
total	29	12	44	

Experiments with student code

Motivation Constrained Term Rewriting Transforming C Programs Rewriting Induction Lemma Generation Conclusions

Contributions

Implementation and Experiments

- C2LCTRS: automatic tool to translate C programs to LCTRSs http://www.trs.cm.is.nagoya-u.ac.jp/c2lctrs/
- Ctrl: automatic tool to prove equivalence of LCTRS functions http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/ctrl/

function	YES	NO	MAYBE	time
sum	9	0	4	2.2
fib	10	1	2	5.9
sumfrom	3	0	3	2.3
strlen	2	0	4	6.0
strcpy	5	0	1	14.1
arrsum	1	0	0	4.2
fact	1	0	0	2.5
literature	5	2	18	3.9
safety	3	2	7	22.3
total	39	5	41	

Experiments with student code and adapted specs (ignoring boundary cases like negative input)

Proving Equivalence of Imperative Programs via Constrained Rewriting Induction

• Logically Constrained Term Rewrite Systems for automated reasoning and program analysis

- Logically Constrained Term Rewrite Systems for automated reasoning and program analysis
- Equivalence-preserving frontend to translate from C fragment on integers, arrays, ... to LCTRSs

- Logically Constrained Term Rewrite Systems for automated reasoning and program analysis
- Equivalence-preserving frontend to translate from C fragment on integers, arrays, ... to LCTRSs
- Constrained rewriting induction to prove equivalence of functions defined by LCTRSs

- Logically Constrained Term Rewrite Systems for automated reasoning and program analysis
- Equivalence-preserving frontend to translate from C fragment on integers, arrays, ... to LCTRSs
- Constrained rewriting induction to prove equivalence of functions defined by LCTRSs
- Lemma generation technique suited to problems from imperative programs (second technique in the paper)

- Logically Constrained Term Rewrite Systems for automated reasoning and program analysis
- Equivalence-preserving frontend to translate from C fragment on integers, arrays, ... to LCTRSs
- Constrained rewriting induction to prove equivalence of functions defined by LCTRSs
- Lemma generation technique suited to problems from imperative programs (second technique in the paper)
- Paper:

Carsten Fuhs, Cynthia Kop, Naoki Nishida Verifying Procedural Programs via Constrained Rewriting Induction *ACM Transactions on Computational Logic* 18(2): 14:1-14:50 (2017)